

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,

## Defendants.

## SYNOPSYS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Defendant.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING  
DECEMBER 12, 2006 SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT HEARING**

Judge: Martin J. Jenkins

1 At a Status Conference on October 12, 2006, the Court informed the parties of its intention to  
 2 hear a subset of the already fully briefed summary judgment motions on December 12, 2006. The  
 3 parties set forth their respective positions on the issues in letters to the Court dated October 20, 2006  
 4 [Dkt. No. 526, Case No. 03-2289] and October 23, 2006 [Dkt. Nos. 527 and 529, Case No. 03-2289].  
 5 Having considered those letters, and the respective positions of the parties, and finding good cause  
 6 therefor, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

7 1. Aeroflex agrees to entry of a stipulated judgment against it on its authorization and  
 8 consent affirmative defense. The parties are to work out a proposed stipulated judgment on this issue  
 9 as well as Ricoh's willfulness issue and present it to the Court by no later than November 15, 2006.

10 2. Synopsys and the Customer Defendants may file two revised summary judgment  
 11 motions composed of text contained in the already-filed opening briefs, as described in its letter to the  
 12 Court of October 23, 2006 [Dkt. No. 529, Case No. 03-2289]. These briefs may be no longer than 25  
 13 pages each, may not contain any arguments not already present in the current opening briefs, and must  
 14 be filed with the Court within 2 court days of this order.

15 3. Within 1 weeks of the filing of the revised opening briefs, Ricoh shall file two  
 16 opposition briefs composed of text contained in its already-filed opposition briefs.

17 4. Within 3 court days of the filing of the revised opposition briefs, Synopsys and the  
 18 Customer Defendants shall file two reply briefs composed of text contained in its already-filed reply  
 19 briefs.

20 The Court will consider the arguments presented by both sides in this revised briefing at the  
 21 hearing scheduled for December 12, 2006.

22  
 23 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

---

The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins  
 United States District Court Judge

24  
 25 Submitted by:

26 HOWREY LLP

27 By /s/ Denise M. De Mory  
 28 Attorneys for Plaintiff Synopsys  
 and for Defendants Aeroflex, Inc., et al.